



STUDY ON EXTRACTS OF *PARMELIA PERLATA* ACH. FOR ITS ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL AGAINST CERTAIN MICROORGANISMS

Rauf Abdur^{1*}, Latif Abdul², Rehman Sumbul³ and Afaq S.H⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Ilmul Advia, F/o Unani Medicine; Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh (U.P) India

²Associate Professor, Department of Ilmul Advia, F/o Unani Medicine; Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh (U.P) India

³Project Fellow DRS-I (UGC), Department of Ilmul Advia, F/o Unani Medicine, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh (U.P) India

⁴Professor, Department of Ilmul Advia, F/o Unani Medicine; Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh (U.P) India

*Corresponding Author Email: abdurraufmd@yahoo.com

Article Received on: 30/09/13 Revised on: 21/10/13 Approved for publication: 30/10/13

DOI: 10.7897/2230-8407.041123

IRJP is an official publication of Moksha Publishing House. Website: www.mokshaph.com

© All rights reserved.

ABSTRACT

A significant increase in the trend of infectious diseases and alarming shoot up of resistance to existing antimicrobial agents demands a renewed effort to seek agents effective against pathogenic bacteria resistant to current antimicrobials. Different extracts of *Parmelia perlata* (Chhadela), were studied for their screening of antibacterial activity against various bacterial strains by Agar well diffusion method on inoculated Media plates. It was found that *P. perlata* has a significant activity towards *Bacillus cereus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Proteus vulgaris*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Corynebacterium xerosis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* while no activity towards *S. epidermidis*, *S. mutans* and *S. pyogenes*. Moreover it was seen that 50 % hydro-ethanolic extracts produced more significant ZOI than 90 % ethanolic extract in all tested strains. The antibacterial activity so found, can be due to the presence of chemical constituents as Usnic acid which can halt infection and is effective against various gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria species. It can be concluded that due to their antimicrobial effects, extracts of the lichen can be used for the infectious diseases caused by these microbes. This study provides an *in-vitro* proof of the antibacterial activity of *Parmelia perlata*.

Keywords: *Parmelia perlata*, Zone of Inhibition, Antibacterial efficacy.

INTRODUCTION

The increase in prevalence of new emerging infectious diseases has directed to amplify the development of new antimicrobial from alternative sources; phyto-chemicals from medicinal plants have the potential of filling this need. Screening of various bioactive compounds from plants has lead to the discovery of new medicinal drug which have efficient protection and treatment roles in against various diseases¹. The rapid emergence of multiple drug resistant strains of pathogens to current antimicrobial agents has generated an urgent intensive for new antibiotics from medicinal plants. Many medicinal plants have been screened extensively for their antimicrobial potential worldwide²⁻⁵. *Parmelia perlata* Ach. (Chhadela) is lichen that belongs to the family Parmeliaceae. It is known in Unani medicine as 'Hazaz-al-Sakhr' and 'Dowala' and used to cure many health ailments. It grows on old trees and walls and on rocks, distributed in Punjab and Himalayan regions, India. It is also available in Persia and Europe⁶⁻¹². *Parmelia perlata* is the thallus, foliaceous, membranous leaf like horizontally spreading lobed and stellated structure more or less fibrous beneath, fixed only by a central point. The thallus is dirty white or grayish brown nearly 5-10 cm long, the surface is rugous and marked with irregular depressions. It is aromatic and mucilaginous, bitter or saline in taste^{7,10,13,14}. Chhadela is reported in Unani literatures as astringent, antiemetic, antidote, analgesic, cardiotonic, emmenagogue, lactagogue and wound healing agent¹³⁻²⁰. Ghani (1921)²¹ while giving

reference to Vedas mentioned that 'Chhadela' is useful in jaundice, indigestion, fevers, rabies and diseases of lungs. Its smokes relieve the headache, heal the wounds, increase flow of menses and relieve the pain of liver, uterus and stomach. The drug contains atronin (1.5 %), usnic acid (0.3 %), salazinic acid (3.8 %), lecanoric acid and protolichestic acid^{6,7}. Usnic acid inhibits gram positive bacteria such as *Streptococcus*, *Staphylococcus pneumoniae* in adults and a related organism *Streptococcus pyogenes* which is responsible for clinical conditions of pharyngitis that is commonly referred as Strap throat. Recently usnic acid has been tested for positivity as anti tumor agent²¹. Keeping in view of Usnic acid and other components in Chhadela an antibacterial study of the extracts of crude drug was carried out against various gram positive and gram negative bacterial strains.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant collection

Parmelia perlata, (Figure 1) the whole herb was procured from the Baradari market of Aligarh, U.P (India) during summer (August) 2010 and was authenticated by the Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India and also with the available literature. Sample of the test drug was kept in museum, Department of Ilmul Advia, AMU, Aligarh, India for future references (Voucher No. SC-0131/12)



Figure 1: *Parmelia perlata* Ventral and Dorsal Surface

Preparation of plant extracts

The test drug was dried at room temperature in a ventilated room, milled to a fine powder and stored in a closed container in dark.

50 % Hydro ethanolic extract

10 g of the powder of crude drug was refluxed with 150 ml of 50 % alcohol. The solvent was heated at 40°C and refluxed for a period of 150 minutes. The extract was filtered and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure in the Lyophilizer (Macro Scientific, Delhi, India). For experiment, extract was re dissolved in Dimethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO) to the desired concentration.

90 % ethanolic extract

10 g of crude drug powder and 150 ml of 90 % ethanol were put into a soxhlet apparatus. The solvent was heated at 40°C and refluxed for a period of 150 minutes. The extract was filtered and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure in the Lyophilizer (Macro Scientific, Delhi, India). For experiment, extract was re dissolved in DMSO to the desired concentration.

Test microorganisms

Ten bacterial strains (six gram positive and four gram negative) were selected on the basis of their clinical importance. These strains were obtained from Hi-media Labs Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India and Microbial Type Culture Collection, Chandigarh, India. The selected strains were *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213), *Streptococcus mutans* (ATCC 25175), *Streptococcus pyogenes* (MTCC 435), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MTCC 435), *Bacillus cereus* (MTCC 430), *Corynebacterium xerosis* (ATCC 373), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (MTCC 109), *Proteus vulgaris* (MTCC 426) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 424). These strains were screened for evaluation of antibacterial activities of the *Parmelia perlata*.

Medium

The solid media namely Nutrient Agar No.2 (M 1269S-500G, Himedia Labs) was used for preparing nutrient plates, while Nutrient Broth (M002-500G, Himedia Labs) was used for the liquid culture media.

In-vitro Antibacterial Activity

Primary screening

The antibacterial activities of the *Parmelia perlata* were evaluated by agar well diffusion method²². All the microbial

cultures were adjusted to 0.5 Mc Farland standards, which is visually comparable to a microbial suspension of approximately 1.5×10^8 cfu/ml. 20 ml of agar media was poured into each Petri plate and plates were swabbed with a colony from the inoculums of the test microorganisms and kept for 15 minutes for adsorption. Using sterile cork borer of 6 mm diameter, wells were bored into the seeded agar plates and these were loaded with a 50 µl volume with concentration of 10 mg/ml of each compound reconstituted in the dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO). All the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Antimicrobial activity of all the extracts of *Parmelia perlata* was evaluated by measuring the zone of inhibition against the test microorganisms with Antibiotic Zone Scale (PW297, Himedia Labs), which was held over the back of the inverted plate. The plates were held a few inches above a black, non reflecting background and illuminated with reflected light. The medium with DMSO as solvent was used as a negative control, whereas, media with Ciprofloxacin (standard antibiotic for gram positive) and Gentamicin (standard antibiotic for gram negative) were used as positive control. The experiments were performed in triplicates.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial compounds that will inhibit the visible growth of microorganisms after overnight incubation. Minimum inhibitory concentrations are important in diagnostic laboratories to confirm resistance of microorganisms to antimicrobial agents and also to monitor the activity of new antimicrobial agents. The MIC of the *Parmelia perlata* (Chhadela) was tested against bacterial strains through a broth dilution method. In this method, the test concentrations of extracts of 'Chhadela' were made from 2.5 to 0.01 mg/ml in the sterile wells of the micro-titer plates²³.

Broth Dilution Method

In a sterile microtitre plates (96-u-shaped wells) 50 µl of the sterile nutrient broth was poured in each well in three rows, then from a fresh inoculums so formed (10^8 cfu/ml) diluted with 100 µl Nutrient broth to have 10^6 cfu/ml. 50 µl of the suspension was poured in each well in the first and third row, second row was again filled with 50 µl of Nutrient broth, finally the drug sample 50 µl was added in the first row diluting uniformly from 2.5 to 0.01 mg/ml till the 8th well. MIC was expressed as the lowest dilution, which inhibited the growth judged by lack of turbidity in the well. All the

microtitre plates were wrapped properly with a sterilized foil and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours.

RESULTS

Parmelia perlata (Chhadela) contains a yellow pigment usnic acid in its cortex, and this acid is disrupting the metabolic functions of bacteria and finally kills as reported by Brodo, 1984²⁴. Extracts of *Parmelia perlata* showed a wide range of antibacterial activity against Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria. Significant effect was noted towards *B. cereus* (Table 1), where as hydro-ethanolic extract of test drug produces a large ZOI of 23.33 ± 0.33 as compared to its

90 % ethanolic extract ZOI – 22.33 ± 0.33 (MIC-0.312 mg/ml) while the Standard drug Ciprofloxacin produces ZOI- of 23 mm. Against *C. xerosis* also hydro-ethanolic extract produces a moderate antibacterial effect ZOI of 14.33 ± 0.33 mm as compared to ethanolic extract ZOI of 13.33 ± 0.33 mm (MIC-5.0 mg/ml) but it was lower than ZOI by Ciprofloxacin 21 mm. Similarly in *S. aureus* a moderate effect by extract was shown ZOI of 13.33 ± 0.33 by hydro ethanolic and 12.6 ± 0.33 mm by ethanolic extract (MIC-2.5 mg/ml) which was lower than Standard drug ZOI 22 mm. There was no activity seen towards *S. epidermidis*, *S. mutans* and *S. pyrogenes* by either extract (Table 1; Figure 2).

Table 1: Zone of Inhibition (in mm) of *Parmelia perlata* (Chhadela) against Gram-positive bacterial strains

S. No.	Strains	Lichen		Plane Control DMSO (40 µl)	Standard Control Ciprofloxacin (30 µg/disk)
		50 % Hydro-ethanolic extract	90 % ethanolic extract		
1.	<i>S. aureus</i> (ATCC 29213)	13.33 ± 0.33 *	12.6 ± 0.33 *	-	22*
2.	<i>S. mutans</i> (ATCC 25175)	12.6 ± 0.33 *	-	-	21*
3.	<i>S. epidermidis</i> (ATCC 155)	-	-	-	23*
4.	<i>S. pyrogenes</i> (ATCC 14289)	-	-	-	22*
5.	<i>B. cereus</i> (ATCC 11778)	23.33 ± 0.33 *	22.3 ± 0.33 *	-	21*
6.	<i>C. xerosis</i> (ATCC 373)	12.66 ± 0.33 *	-	-	23*

Results are expressed as Mean $\pm$ Standard error of Mean^{Probability error} *p – value > 0.001




Figure 2: Zone of Inhibition (in mm) of different extracts of *Parmelia perlata* (Chhadela) against gram positive bacterial strains


Among the Gram negative strains (Table 2) of bacteria selected for the study, a significant activity was seen towards *P. aeruginosa* where test drug hydro ethanolic extract produced ZOI of 27.33 ± 0.33 mm and ethanolic extract produces ZOI of 20.33 ± 0.33 mm (MIC- 1.25 mg/ml) which was much greater than ZOI produced by the Standard drug used- Gentamicin ZOI of 14 mm, antibacterial activity towards *P. vulgaris* was also more as compared to Gentamicin where hydro ethanolic extract produced ZOI of 16.66 ± 0.33 and ethanolic extract of 13 ± 0.57 mm (MIC-2.5

mg/ml) and Gentamicin ZOI was 14 mm. There was also a considerable activity towards *E. coli* where hydro ethanolic extract ZOI was 14.33 ± 0.33 mm and ethanolic extract as 11.33 ± 0.33 mm (MIC-5.0 mg/ml) which was lower than ZOI by Gentamicin 15 mm and same was seen towards *K. pneumoniae* where ZOI by test drug hydro ethanolic extract was 13.33 ± 0.33 mm and by ethanolic extract was 11.33 ± 0.33 mm (MIC-5.0 mg/ml) which was also lower than Gentamicin ZOI 15 mm (Table 2; Figure 3)

Table 2: Zone of Inhibition (in mm) of *Parmelia perlata* (Chhadela) against Gram-negative bacterial strains

S. No	Strains	Lichen		Plane Control DMSO (40 µl)	Standard Control Gentamicin (30 µg/disk)
		50 % Hydro- ethanolic extract	90 % ethanolic extract		
1.	<i>E. coli</i> (ATCC 25922)	11.33 ± 0.33 *	13.33 ± 0.33 *	-	15*
2.	<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (ATCC 15380)	13.33 ± 0.33 *	-	-	14*
3.	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (ATCC 25619)	25.3 ± 0.33 *	27.3 ± 0.33 **	-	14*
4.	<i>P. vulgaris</i> (ATCC 6380)	13.33 ± 0.33 *	13.33 ± 0.33 *	-	15*

Results are expressed as Mean ± Standard error of Mean Probability error *p-value > 0.001 **p-value > 0.05


Figure 3: Zone of Inhibition (in mm) of different extracts of *Parmelia perlata* (Chhadela) against gram negative bacterial strains

While comparing the hydro-ethanolic and alcoholic extract in general it was noted that 50 % hydro-ethanolic extract has more antibacterial effect as compared to 90 % ethanolic extract (Table 3).

Table 3: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of the *Parmelia perlata* (Chhadela) (mg/ml)

S. No.	Strains	50 % Hydro-ethanolic extract	90 % Ethanolic extract
1.	<i>S. aureus</i>	1.250	> 5.00
2.	<i>S. mutans</i>	> 5.00	> 5.00
3.	<i>S. epidermidis</i>	> 5.00	> 5.00
4.	<i>S. pyrogenes</i>	> 5.00	> 5.00
5.	<i>B. cereus</i>	0.312	0.625
6.	<i>C. xerosis</i>	1.250	> 5.00
7.	<i>E. coli</i>	2.50	1.250
8.	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1.250	> 5.00
9.	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	0.625	0.156
10.	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	1.250	2.500

DISCUSSION

In recent years, the search from sources of natural origin possessing antimicrobial properties have been on the rise due to their potential use in the therapy of various chronic and infectious diseases. Due to the risk of adverse effects encountered with the use of synthetic antibiotics, medicinal plants may offer an alternative source for antimicrobial agent with significant activity against pathogenic and infective microorganisms. In addition, a number of antibiotics have lost their effectiveness due to the development of resistant strains, mostly through the expression of resistance genes. Present study findings confirmed the use of *Parmelia perlata* in infectious diseases. We found strong antimicrobial activities in the hydro-ethanolic and ethanolic extracts of *Parmelia perlata* against all Gram-positive and Gram negative bacteria tested. This medicinal plant by *in vitro* results appear as interesting and promising and may be effective as potential sources of novel antimicrobial drug.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that 'Chhadela' has a potent antibacterial activity against various pathogenic bacteria. *Parmelia perlata* (Chhadela) contains a yellow pigment usnic acid in its cortex, and this acid is disrupting the metabolic functions of bacteria and finally kills, therefore the antibacterial activity of *Parmelia perlata* is justified. This drug can be used to drive antimicrobial agents to fight against the number of infectious diseases mainly against *B. cereus*, *P. vulgaris* and *P. aeruginosa*, after exploring other pharmacological details.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are highly thankful to DRS-I (UGC) of Department of Ilmul Advia for providing assistance during the study.

REFERENCES

- Govindappa M, Sadananda TS, Channabasava R, Jeevitha MK, Pooja KS and Vinay B Raghavendra. Antimicrobial, Antioxidant Activity and Phytochemical Screening of *Tecoma Stans* (L.) Juss. Ex Kunth Journal of Phytology Phytopharmacology 2011; 3(3): 68-76.

2. Ahmad N, Khan MI, Ahmed S, Faisal M, Anis M, Rehman S, Umair SM. Change in total phenolic content and antibacterial activity in regenerates of *Vitex negundo* L. *Biologia planatarum* 2013; 35(3):2: 791-800.
3. Rehman S, Latif A, Ahmad S, Khan AU. *In-vitro* antibacterial screening of *Swertia chirayita* Linn. against some gram negative pathogenic strains. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Development* 2012; 4(04): 188-194.
4. Rahman A, Tajuddin, Amin KMY and Rehman S. Antimicrobial assay of alcoholic and hydroalcoholic extract of a Unani formulation by Agar well method. *Hippocratic Journal of Unani Medicine* 2013; 8(1): 59-66.
5. Rehman S, Latif A, Ahmad S, Khan AU. Antibacterial Screening of *Fumaria officinales* Linn. A Drug of choice. *Journal of Integrated Community Health* 2013; 1(2): 61-67.
6. Chopra GL. A text book of Fungi. 14th ed. SL Jain, Fors. Nagin and Co., Partab Road, Jullundur city; 1979. p. 350-354.
7. Rastogi RP, Mehrotra BN. *Compendium of Indian Medicinal Plants*. Pub. Central Drug Research Institute Lucknow and National Institute of Science Communication, New Delhi; 1999. p. 425.
8. Dymock W, Warden CJH and Hooper D. *Pharmacographica Indica*. The Institute of Health and Tibbi Research Hamdard National Foundation. Pakistan; 1972. p. 627-628.
9. Nadkarni KM. *Indian Materia Medica*. Ed.3. Pub. Popular Parkashan Pvt. Ltd. Bombay; 1982. p. 922.
10. Khory RN and Katrak NN. *Materia Medica of India and Their Therapeutics*. Pub. Neeraj Publishing House. New Delhi; 1985. p. 652-653.
11. Kiritkar KR and Basu BD. *Indian Medicinal Plants*. Ed. 3. Pub. Lalit Mohan Basu MB. Allahabad; 1996. p. 2757.
12. Pandey BP. *Text Book of Botany- the Fungi*. Ed. 3. Pub. K Nath and Co. Educational Publishers. Meerut; 1973. p. 229-232.
13. Lindley J. *The Flora Medica*. Pub. Ajay Book Service. New Delhi; 1981. p. 625.
14. Husain MM. *Makhzanul Advia ma Tohfatul Momineen*. Pub. Matba Munshi Nawal Kishore. Lucknow; 1874. p. 86.
15. Attar HZA. *Ikhtiyarat-e- Badiye*. Pub. Matba Munshi Nawal Kishore. Lucknow; 1888. p. 25-26.
16. Ibn Sina HBA. *Al Qanoon fit Tib*. Pub. Matba Munshi Nawal Kishore. Lucknow; 1931. p. 39.
17. Razi MBZ. *Al Hawi fit Tib*. Pub. Majlis Dairatul Maarif. Hyderabad; 1967. p. 9-11.
18. Azam M. Muheet Azam. Pub. Matba Nizami. Kanpur; 1987. p. 163-164.
19. Baitar ZI. *Al-Jamey li Mufradat al Advia wal Aghzia*. Pub. Central Council for research in Unani Medicine. New Delhi; 1986. p. 80-82.
20. Majoosi ABA. *Kamil-al Sanaa*. Pub. Matba Kubra. Egypt; 1869. p. 108.
21. Ghani N. *Khazainul Advia*. Pub. Matba Munshi Nawal Kishore. Lucknow; 1921. p. 143-144.
22. Anonymous. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS). *Methods for Disks Susceptibility Tests for bacteria that grow aerobically*, NCCLS, Approved Standards Sixth edition-NCCLS document M2-A7, Wayne, USA; 2000. p. 7.
23. Andrews JM. *Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Suppl. SI* 2001; 48: 5-16.
24. Brodo IM. *Lichens Canadensis Exsiccate Fascicle*. *Bryologist*; 1984. p. 111.

Cite this article as:

Rauf Abdur, Latif Abdul, Rehman Sumbul and Afaq S.H. Study on extracts of *Parmelia perlata* Ach. for its antimicrobial potential against certain microorganisms. *Int. Res. J. Pharm.* 2013; 4(11):102-106 <http://dx.doi.org/10.7897/2230-8407.041123>

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared